

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>PAGE</b>
1 – BACKGROUND .....	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1.1 Background.....	2
1.1.2 Approach.....	5
1.2 GUIDING PRINCIPLES .....	6
1.2.1 Environmental Assessment as a Planning Tool .....	7
1.2.2 Community Knowledge and Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge .....	8
1.2.3 Sustainable Development.....	10
1.2.4 Precautionary Principle.....	11
1.3 PREPARATION AND PRESENTATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT .....	14
1.3.1 Study Strategy and Methodology.....	15
1.4 OUTLINE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT .....	16
1.4.1 Preface.....	17
1.4.2 Acknowledgements.....	18
1.4.3 Executive Summary .....	20
1.4.4 Abbreviations .....	24
1.4.5 Organization of the EIS.....	32
1.5 USE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.....	33
1.6 TABLE OF CONCORDANCE.....	34
2 – CONTENTS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT .....	42
2.1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND.....	42
2.1.1 The Proponent .....	44
2.1.2 Legal Framework and Role of Government.....	46
2.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....	51
2.2.1 Need for and Purpose of the Project .....	51
2.2.2 Project Setting.....	54
2.2.3 Project Description.....	60
2.2.4 Mine Plan.....	83
2.2.5 Mine Development.....	94
2.2.5.1 Process Plant .....	125
2.2.5.2 Maintenance, Administration and On-Site Support Facilities.....	131
2.2.6 Project Development Schedule .....	133
2.3 PROJECT SCOPING.....	142
2.3.1 Scope of Project .....	144
2.3.2 Scope of Assessment.....	148
2.3.3 Factors to be Considered .....	149
2.3.4 Scope of Factors.....	150
2.3.5 Valued Ecosystem Components.....	151
2.3.6 Spatial Boundaries.....	154
2.3.7 Temporal Boundaries .....	155
2.4 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES .....	157

2.4.1	Assessment of Alternatives and Selection of the Proposed Project.....	157
2.4.2	Alternatives to the Project.....	158
2.4.3	Alternative Means of Carrying out the Project.....	159
2.4.3.1	Assessment of Alternatives for Mine Waste Disposal.....	161
2.5	CONSULTATION.....	189
2.5.1	Aboriginal Consultation.....	190
2.5.1.1	Engagement and Consultation.....	192
2.5.2	Public Consultation.....	201
2.6	EXISTING ENVIRONMENT.....	209
2.6.1	Physical and Biological Environment.....	209
2.6.1.1	Geology and Geochemistry.....	209
2.6.1.2	Atmospheric Environment.....	220
2.6.1.3	Acoustic Environment.....	223
2.6.1.4	Water Quality and Quantity.....	224
2.6.1.5	Fish and Fish Habitat.....	287
2.6.1.6	Terrain and Soils.....	332
2.6.1.7	Vegetation.....	345
2.6.1.8	Wildlife.....	349
2.6.2	Socioeconomics, Culture and Human Health.....	354
2.6.2.1	Resource Uses.....	354
2.6.2.2	Navigable Waters.....	363
2.6.2.3	Human Health.....	367
2.6.3	Physical, Cultural and Heritage Resources.....	372
2.6.3.1	Archaeology.....	372
2.6.4	Aboriginal Interests.....	373
2.6.4.1	Aboriginal Communities.....	374
2.6.4.2	Current Use of Lands and Resources for Traditional Purposes.....	382
2.6.4.3	Potential or Established Aboriginal Rights or Title.....	387
2.6.4.4	Archaeological Resources.....	388
2.7	IMPACT ASSESSMENT.....	389
2.7.1	Approach to the Effects Prediction, Mitigation Measures and Significance of Residual Effects.....	391
2.7.1.1	Effects Prediction.....	391
2.7.1.2	Mitigation Measures.....	393
2.7.1.3	Compensation.....	394
2.7.1.4	Cumulative Effects Assessment.....	395
2.7.1.5	Determination of the Significance of Residual Effects.....	403
2.7.1.6	Summary of Effects Assessment.....	404
2.7.2	Physical and Biological Environment.....	405
2.7.2.1	Geology and Geochemistry.....	405
2.7.2.2	Atmospheric Environment.....	543
2.7.2.3	Acoustic Environment.....	566
2.7.2.4	Water Quality and Quantity.....	592
2.7.2.5	Fish and Fish Habitat.....	829
2.7.2.6	Terrain and Soil.....	911
2.7.2.7	Vegetation.....	980
2.7.2.8	Wildlife.....	1053

2.7.3	Socio-Economics, Culture and Human Health .....	1141
2.7.3.1	Effects on Resource Uses .....	1142
2.7.3.2	Navigable Waters .....	1168
2.7.3.3	Human Health .....	1185
2.7.3.4	Project Benefits .....	1219
2.7.4	Physical and Cultural Heritage Resources .....	1225
2.7.4.1	Archaeology .....	1227
2.7.5	Aboriginal Interests .....	1230
2.7.5.1	Aboriginal interests identified in the original panel report for which no significant adverse impact was found, but which require further consideration in light of the proposed project changes .....	1267
2.7.5.2	Aboriginal concerns identified in the original panel report that were subject to a finding of significant adverse effect .....	1272
2.7.5.3	Additional aboriginal issues or concerns identified since the time of the original panel report and not otherwise covered by 2.7.5.1 and 2.7.5.2 above .....	1315
2.7.5.4	Potential Effect on Socioeconomic Conditions .....	1319
2.7.6	Accidents and Malfunctions .....	1325
2.7.7	Effects of the Environment on the Project .....	1365
2.7.8	Capacity of Renewable Resources .....	1369
2.8	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT .....	1370
2.8.1	Environmental Management Plans .....	1376
2.8.2	Decommissioning and Closure Plans .....	1453
2.8.2.1	End Land Use Objectives .....	1455
2.8.2.2	Capability Objectives and General Means by which Objectives will be Achieved .....	1456
2.8.2.3	Plans for Removal of Structures and Equipment and Remediation of Contaminated Soils .....	1476
2.8.2.4	Mine Site Interior Roads and Other Linear Disturbances .....	1479
2.8.2.5	Non-PAG Waste Rock Pile .....	1480
2.8.2.6	Tailings Storage Facility .....	1481
2.8.2.7	Pit .....	1482
2.8.2.8	Water Management Plans and Watercourse Re-establishment .....	1482
2.8.2.9	Reclamation Monitoring and Maintenance .....	1484
2.8.2.10	Geotechnical Stability .....	1486
2.8.2.11	Long-Term Monitoring for Surface and Groundwater Quality .....	1487
2.8.2.12	Management Plans for Final Closure and Temporary and/or Early Closure .....	1488
2.8.3	Monitoring and Follow-up Programs .....	1490
2.9	TABLE OF COMMITMENTS .....	1506
2.10	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS .....	1538
2.11	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....	1553

## TABLES

Table 1.6-1	Table of Concordance
Table 2.1.2-1	Federal Authorities
Table 2.1.2-2	Provincial Permits and Licenses Required
Table 2.2.3-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.3.1-1	Mine Site Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.2.4-1	Mineral Resource Inventory
Table 2.2.4-2	Mineral Reserve Inventory
Table 2.2.4-3	Tonnes Mined (Mine Production Forecast)
Table 2.2.5-1	Recommended Wall Slopes
Table 2.2.5-2	Embankment Material Quantities
Table 2.2.5-3	Tailings Embankment Material Balance
Table 2.4.3.1-1	Pre-Screening Criteria
Table 2.4.3.1-2	Results of Pre-screening
Table 2.4.3.1-3	Environmental Account Sub-accounts, Indicators, and Metrics
Table 2.4.3.1-4	Project Economics Account Sub-accounts, Indicators, and Metrics
Table 2.4.3.1-5	Socio-economic Account Sub-accounts, Indicators, and Metrics
Table 2.4.3.1-6	Technical Account Sub-accounts, Indicators, and Metrics
Table 2.4.3.1-7	Summary of Multiple Accounts Assessment by Case
Table 2.4.3.1-8	Summary of Multiple Accounts Assessment by Account
Table 2.5.1.1-1	First Nations Being Consulted on the New Prosperity Gold-Copper Project
Table 2.5.1.1-2	Aboriginal Concerns Resulting From Potential Changes to the Environment
Table 2.5.1.1-3	Aboriginal Concerns Resulting from the Presence of a Mine on Social or Economic Aspects of their Community or Nation
Table 2.5.1.1-4	Aboriginal Concerns Relative to the Regulatory Review Process
Table 2.6.1.2-1	Summary of Precipitation (Rainfall only) Data between October 2006 and September 2010
Table 2.6.1.4A-1	New Prosperity Project Sampling Program Outline – Streams and Rivers, 1992–2006
Table 2.6.1.4A-2	New Prosperity Project Sampling Program Outline – Lakes, 1992–2006
Table 2.6.1.4A-3	Values for Comparisons of Metal Concentrations in Fish Tissue
Table 2.6.1.4A-4	Baseline Metals Levels in Rainbow Trout Liver and Muscle Collected in Fish Creek, Fish Lake, Little Fish Lake and the Taseko River (n = 126 samples)
Table 2.6.1.4A-5	Sediment Parameters 1994 through 2012, New Prosperity Project
Table 2.6.1.4A-6	Sediment Quality Guidelines, New Prosperity Project
Table 2.6.1.4A-7	Metal and Organic Carbon Levels in Sediment of Fish, Little Fish and Wasp Lakes, 1997 and 2011 (Mean, n = 5 Replicates)
Table 2.6.1.4A-8	Sediment Quality in Fish Creek Sites W8 and W3, 1997 (Mean ± s.d., n = 5 per Habitat Type)
Table 2.6.1.4A-9	Summary of Sediment Chemistry Results from Fish Lake, July 2011 (n = 5 per Station)
Table 2.6.1.4A-10	New Prosperity Project Sampling Program Outline – Lakes, 1992–2006
Table 2.6.1.4A-11	Periphyton Characteristics at Sites in the New Prosperity Project Area, 1997 (n = 5)
Table 2.6.1.4A-12	Periphyton Characteristics at Sites in the New Prosperity Project Area, 2006 (n = 5)

Table 2.6.1.4A-13	Benthic Community Characteristics at Sites in the New Prosperity Project Area, August 1997 (n = 5)
Table 2.6.1.4A-14	Benthic Community Characteristics at Sites in the New Prosperity Project Area, August 2006 (n = 5)
Table 2.6.1.4A-15	Mean Chlorophyll a (µg/L) in Selected Lakes
Table 2.6.1.4A-16	Zooplankton Community Characteristics in Selected Lakes (Mean and Standard Error, n=5)
Table 2.6.1.4A-17	Lake Benthos Characteristics, 1997 (Mean)
Table 2.6.1.4B-1	Unit Runoff Streamflow Stations H17b, H6b and H4d
Table 2.6.1.4B-2	Average Estimated Monthly Flows
Table 2.6.1.4B-3	Regional Streamflow Stations Coefficient of Variation
Table 2.6.1.4C-1	Groundwater Quality Baseline Report – Summary Table of Primary Parameters that Exceed the BCWQG and CCME Aquatic Life Guideline Limits (Groundwater Quality Data - 1992 to 2006)
Table 2.6.1.4D-1	Precipitation and Temperature Data for Station M1
Table 2.6.1.5-1	List of 1993–2012 Fish and Fish Habitat Studies
Table 2.6.1.5-2	Summary of Baseline Stream Habitat Conditions in Fish Creek Watershed
Table 2.6.1.5-3	Baseline Conditions (Instream Habitat Attributes) in Lower Fish Creek
Table 2.6.1.5-4	Baseline Conditions (Instream Habitat Attributes for Fish-Bearing Reaches) in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.6.1.5-5	Baseline Conditions (Rainbow Trout Spawning Habitat) in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.6.1.5-6	Summary of Baseline Lake Habitat Conditions for Fish and Little Fish Lakes in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.6.1.5-7	Baseline Conditions (Salmonid Migrations) in Lower Fish Creek
Table 2.6.1.5-8	Baseline Conditions (Juvenile Salmonid Relative Abundance) in Lower Fish Creek
Table 2.6.1.5-9	Relative Abundance of Instream Rainbow Trout in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.6.1.5-10	Life Stage-specific Population Estimates of Rainbow Trout in Fish Lake during 1997
Table 2.6.1.5-11	Summary of Fish Creek Watershed Lake and Stream Rainbow Trout Population Characteristics during the 1996–1997 Period
Table 2.6.1.5-12	Summary of Physical Habitat Data from the Prosperity Gold-Copper Project: Lakes Physical Habitat Study
Table 2.6.1.5-13	Summary of the Fish Lake Rainbow Trout Recreational Fishery during 1993–1997
Table 2.6.1.5-14	Summary of the Modified Fish Lake Creel Survey and Aerial Boat Counts during 2006
Table 2.6.1.5-15	Summary of Stream Habitat Quality and Species Presence (Confirmed and Inferred) at Existing and Proposed Fish-Bearing Road Stream Crossings 2006
Table 2.6.1.5-16	New Prosperity Project Sampling Program Outline–Streams and Rivers, 1992–2006
Table 2.6.1.5-17	New Prosperity Project Sampling Program Outline–Lakes, 1992–2006
Table 2.6.1.5-18	Periphyton characteristics at sites in the New Prosperity Project Area, 1997 (n = 5)
Table 2.6.1.5-19	Periphyton characteristics at sites in the New Prosperity Project Area, 2006 (n = 5)
Table 2.6.1.5-20	Benthic community characteristics at sites in the New Prosperity Project Area, August 1997 (n = 5)
Table 2.6.1-5-21	Benthic Community Characteristics at Sites in the New Prosperity Project Area, August 2006 (n = 5)

Table 2.6.1.5-22	Comparison of Fish Creek Rainbow Trout Densities (fish/m <sup>2</sup> ) to Other Watersheds within the Regional Study Area
Table 2.6.1.5-23	Mean Chlorophyll a (µg/L) in Selected Lakes
Table 2.6.1.5-24	Zooplankton Community Characteristics in Selected Lakes (mean and S.E., n=5)
Table 2.6.1.5-25	Relative Abundance and Diversity of Benthic Invertebrates in Fish and Other Lakes within the Regional Study Area during 1997
Table 2.6.1.5-26	Comparison of Rainbow Trout Catch per Unit Effort (No. Fish/Gill Net Set Hour) in Fish and Other Lakes within the Regional Study Area
Table 2.6.1.5-27	Values for Comparisons of Metals Concentrations in Fish Tissue
Table 2.6.1.5-28	Baseline Metals Levels in Rainbow Trout Liver and Muscle Samples Combined from Fish Creek, Fish Lake, Little Fish Lake and the Taseko River (n = 126 samples)
Table 2.6.1.6-1	Terrain Field Sites by Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.6-2	Slope Classes for the Mine Site
Table 2.6.1.6-3	Surficial Materials of the Mine Site Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.6-4	Summary of Soil Field Plots in the Mine Site
Table 2.6.1.6-5	Soil Map Unit Symbol Descriptions for the Mine Site
Table 2.6.1.6-6	Soil Map Unit Areas for the Mine Site Local Study Area
Table 2.6.1.7-1	Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping Summary–Mine Site
Table 2.6.2.1-1	Forest Tenures in the Project Area
Table 2.6.2.1-2	Summary of MPB Surveys of MDA
Table 2.6.2.1-3	Cutting Authorizations in the MDA
Table 2.6.2.1-4	Selected Agriculture Statistics for the Cariboo Regional District, Electoral Areas K and E, BC, 2006
Table 2.6.2.1-5	Number of Animals Harvested in the MDA
Table 2.6.2.2-1	Summary of the Fish Lake Rainbow Trout Recreational Fishery during 1994–1997
Table 2.6.2.3-1	Chemicals of Potential Concern
Table 2.6.2.3-2	Country Foods Selected for Study
Table 2.6.4.1-1	Taseko's Four Main Project Elements within Assumed Traditional Territories
Table 2.7.1.4-1	Inclusion List
Table 2.7.2.1-1	New Prosperity Project Geology Codes
Table 2.7.2.1-2	Alteration Codes and Descriptions
Table 2.7.2.1-3	Overburden Codes
Table 2.7.2.1-4	Lithology Codes
Table 2.7.2.1-5	Geographic Domain Codes and Descriptions
Table 2.7.2.1-6	Core Intervals Collected for Phase 5 Kinetic Testing
Table 2.7.2.1-7	Makeup of Subaqueous Rock Column Composite Sample
Table 2.7.2.1-8	Tally of Mineral Grain Composition as determined by Microprobe Analyses
Table 2.7.2.1-9	Average Compositions of Carbonate Minerals in Mine Rock
Table 2.7.2.1-10	Median Concentrations of Selected Heavy Elements
Table 2.7.2.1-11	Static Characteristics of Phase 4 Humidity Cell Test Samples
Table 2.7.2.1-12	Static Characteristics of Phase 4 Column Test Samples
Table 2.7.2.1-13	Static Characteristics of Phase 5 Kinetic Test Samples
Table 2.7.2.1-14	Maximum Concentrations in Subaqueous Rock Column Leachate
Table 2.7.2.1-15	Test Results used in Defining Rock Source Terms
Table 2.7.2.1-16	Summary of Waste Tonnes
Table 2.7.2.1-17	Summary of Tailings ABA Results

Table 2.7.2.1-18	Summary of Rietveld XRD Results for Tailings Samples
Table 2.7.2.1-19	Elemental Analyses of Tailings
Table 2.7.2.1-20	Maximum Concentrations in Tailings Subaqueous Column Leachate
Table 2.7.2.1-21	Sources of Model Inputs
Table 2.7.2.1-22	Key to Appendices Containing Water Quality Results
Table 2.7.2.2-1	Project Scoping Table for Atmospheric Environment
Table 2.7.2.2-2	Key Indicators and Measurable Parameters for Atmospheric Environment
Table 2.7.2.2-3	VEC Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.2.2-4	Summary of Project Activities and Physical Works Assessed included as Air Emission Sources in the Prosperity EA
Table 2.7.2.2-5	Summary of Project Activities and Physical Works Changes as a Result of the New Prosperity Project
Table 2.7.2.2-6	Maximum Predicted Ground-level Concentrations Associated with the 2009 Prosperity and 2012 New Prosperity Projects
Table 2.7.2.2-7	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Criteria Air Contaminants (CACs) for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.2-8	Summary of Effects Assessment for Atmospheric Environment
Table 2.7.2.3-1	Project Scoping Table
Table 2.7.2.3-2	Noise Measurable Parameters
Table 2.7.2.3-3	VEC Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.2.3-4	Summary of Project Activities and Physical Works Assessed included as Noise Emission Sources in the Prosperity EA
Table 2.7.2.3-5	Summary of Project Activities and Physical Works Changes as a Result of the New Prosperity Project
Table 2.7.2.3-6	Construction Noise Annoyance Indicators
Table 2.7.2.3-7	Predicted Highest Sound Level along OSC 1.5 km criteria boundary during Operations
Table 2.7.2.3-8	Operation Noise Annoyance Indicators
Table 2.7.2.3-9	Closure Noise Annoyance Indicators
Table 2.7.2.3-10	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Ambient Sound Levels for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.3-11	Summary of Effects Assessment for Acoustic Environment
Table 2.7.2.4A-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.4A-2	Water Balance Project Schedule
Table 2.7.2.4A-3	Production Schedule
Table 2.7.2.4A-4	Summary of Hydrometeorological Estimates for Water Balance Modelling
Table 2.7.2.4A-5	Summary of Catchment Areas
Table 2.7.2.4A-6	Lower Fish Creek Annual Flow Volumes (H4)
Table 2.7.2.4A-7	Fish Lake Inlet Annual Flow Volumes (H17b)
Table 2.7.2.4A-8	Beece Creek Annual Flow Volumes (H8c)
Table 2.7.2.4A-9	Estimated Long-Term Project Area Monthly Flows for Baseline Conditions
Table 2.7.2.4A-10	Seasonal Flow Distributions for Lower Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.4A-11	Seasonal Flow Distributions for Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.4A-12	Seasonal Flow Distributions for Beece Creek

Table 2.7.2.4A-13	Average Measured, Estimated Long-Term and Simulated Stream Flows at Station H4
Table 2.7.2.4A-14	Predicted Annual Average Groundwater Flows to Fish, Big Onion, Little Onion and Wasp Lakes
Table 2.7.2.4A-15	Summary of Predicted Baseflow and Groundwater Inflows to Lakes – In the Absence of Fish Lake Valley Seepage Pumpback Wells
Table 2.7.2.4A-16	Summary of Predicted Baseflow and Groundwater Inflows to Lakes –With Fish Lake Valley Seepage Pumpback Wells
Table 2.7.2.4B-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.4B-2	Mine Site Study Area Comparison
Table 2.7.2.4B-3	Water/Sediment Quality and Aquatic Ecology Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.2.4B-4	Waterbodies and VECs that were Assessed and Included in this EIS
Table 2.7.2.4B-5	Measurable Parameters for Potential Water Quality and Aquatic Ecology Environmental Effects
Table 2.7.2.4B-6	Initial Effects Scoping Matrix
Table 2.7.2.4B-7	Data Sources for the Fish Lake Water Quality Model
Table 2.7.2.4B-8	Predicted Evaporation and Direct Precipitation Values for Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-9	Mean Epilimnion and Hypolimnion Concentrations Observed in Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-10	Total Mass of Elements in Fish Lake (based upon a hypolimnion volume of 582,128 m <sup>3</sup> a total lake volume of 4,400,000 m <sup>3</sup> and mean observed concentrations)
Table 2.7.2.4B-11	Elemental Fractionation Percentages Calculated with Baseline Epilimnetic and Hypolimnetic Concentrations
Table 2.7.2.4B-12	Waterbodies in the Project Area for which Water Quality Predictions were made
Table 2.7.2.4B-13	Equations used to Calculate Guideline Values
Table 2.7.2.4B-14	Comparison of Predicted Water Quality to Guidelines for Fish Lake, Trib 1, Upper Fish Creek and Pit Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-15	Comparison of Predicted Values with Data used to Develop Provincial and/or Federal Water Quality Guideline Values and TRV/ESV Values
Table 2.7.2.4B-16	BLM Input Parameters and Limiting Ranges
Table 2.7.2.4B-17	Lowest BLM LC50 values for dissolved copper, cadmium, and silver compared with predicted average and maximum concentrations of copper, cadmium, and silver in Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-18	Comparison of Predicted Concentrations to Guidelines for Beece Creek and Taseko River
Table 2.7.2.4B-19	Comparison of Predicted Concentrations to Guidelines for Lower Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.4B-20	Comparison of Predicted Concentrations to Guidelines for Wasp Lake, Little and Big Onion Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-21	Comparison of Toxicity Data used to establish guideline values or published TRV / ESV values with predicted Lower Fish Creek exceedances
Table 2.7.2.4B-22	Comparison of toxicity data used to establish guideline values or published TRV / ESV values with predicted water quality exceedances at the Beece and Taseko River mixing points



Table 2.7.2.4B-22	Comparison of toxicity data used to establish guideline values or published TRV / ESV values with predicted water quality exceedances at the Beece and Taseko River mixing points
Table 2.7.2.4B-24	BLM results for cadmium and silver at mixing points with predicted guideline exceedances
Table 2.7.2.4B-25	BLM results for maximum copper at mixing points with predicted guideline exceedances
Table 2.7.2.4B-26	BLM results for average cadmium and copper at mixing points with predicted guideline exceedances
Table 2.7.2.4B-27	Summary of BATHTUB predicted Fish Lake TP concentrations during all Project phases compared to lake trophic status
Table 2.7.2.4B-28	Carlson Trophic state classification of Fish Lake based on BATHTUB model predicted P, Chlorophyll a, and Secchi depth
Table 2.7.2.4B-29	Water quality alert levels determined for Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-30	Sediments quality alert levels determined for Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-31	Determination of significance of residual effects for water quality changes in Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-31A	Determination of significance of residual effects for water quality changes in Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-32	Determination of significance of residual effects for water quality changes in Pit Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-33	Determination of significance of residual effects for water quality changes in adjacent streams and rivers
Table 2.7.2.4B-34	Determination of significance of residual effects for water quality changes in adjacent lakes
Table 2.7.2.4B-35	Hydraulic residence time for Fish Lake under different flow regimes
Table 2.7.2.4B-36	Determination of significance of residual effects for changes in aquatic ecology (Fish Lake)
Table 2.7.2.4B-37	Determination of significance of residual effects for changes in aquatic ecology (adjacent lakes)
Table 2.7.2.4B-38	Summary of water quality effects assessment for Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4B-39	Summary of water quality effects assessment for Fish Lake tributaries
Table 2.7.2.4B-40	Summary of water quality effects assessment in adjacent streams and rivers
Table 2.7.2.4B-41	Summary of water quality effects assessment in adjacent lakes
Table 2.7.2.4B-42	Summary of effects assessment for aquatic ecology – lentic invertebrates
Table 2.7.2.4B-43	Summary of effects assessment for aquatic ecology – lotic invertebrates
Table 2.7.2.4B-44	An overview of planned water quality and aquatic ecology monitoring program for the New Prosperity Project†
Table 2.7.2.4C-1	Measurable Parameters for Sediment Quality and Benthic Invertebrates
Table 2.7.2.4C-2	Summary of Metal and Organic Carbon Levels in Sediment of Fish, Little Fish, and Wasp Lakes, 1997 and 2011
Table 2.7.2.4C-3	Lake Benthos Characteristics, 1997 (Mean)
Table 2.7.2.4C-4	Sediment Accumulation Rate of Sediments, Calculated Using the Constant Rate of Supply Model
Table 2.7.2.4C-5	Total Mass of Metals Scavenged to the Sediment of Fish Lake (Baseline)
Table 2.7.2.4C-6	Estimated Sediment Contributions to Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4C-7	Example Calculation of Resulting Sediment Chemistry

Table 2.7.2.4C-8	Baseline and Predicted Sediment Depth Accumulation Rates in Fish Lake
Table 2.7.2.4C-9	Predicted Sediment Quality Exceedances Compared to Guidelines and Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life
Table 2.7.2.4C-10	Determination of Significance of Residual Effects for Fish Lake Sediment Quality and Benthic Invertebrates
Table 2.7.2.4C-11	Determination of Significance of Residual Effects for Fish Lake Tributaries Sediment Quality and Benthic Invertebrates
Table 2.7.2.5-1	Mine Site Study Area Comparison
Table 2.7.2.5-2	Project Scoping Table
Table 2.7.2.5-3	Fish and Fish Habitat Potential Environmental Effects Associated with the New Prosperity Project
Table 2.7.2.5-4	Specified Minimum Riparian Management Area (RMA) Slope Distances for Stream Riparian Classes (FRPA, 2012)
Table 2.7.2.5-5	Specified Minimum Riparian Management Area (RMA) Slope Distances for Lake Riparian Classes (FRPA, 2004)
Table 2.7.2.5-6	Widths of the “zone of sensitivity” (ZOS) for large woody debris and bank stability as specified under the Riparian Areas Regulations of the Fish Protection Act
Table 2.7.2.5-7	Widths of the “zone of sensitivity” (ZOS) for shade and litterfall and terrestrial impacts as specified under the Riparian Areas Regulations of the Fish Protection Act.
Table 2.7.2.5-8	Baseline Conditions (Instream and Riparian Habitat) in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-9	Predicted Direct Effects (Fisheries Act; non-MMER) of the New Prosperity Project on Fish and Fish Habitat in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-10	Indirect Effects (Fisheries Act; non-MMER) of the New Prosperity Project on Fish and Fish Habitat in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-11	Predicted Direct Effects under Metal Mining Effluent Regulation (Schedule 2) of the New Prosperity Project on Fish and Fish Habitat in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-12	Summary of Predicted Project Effects on Rainbow Trout Habitat in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-13	Estimated Habitat Suitability Indices (HSI) for Rainbow Trout by Life History Stage within a Stream Habitat Type
Table 2.7.2.5-14	Summary of Predicted Effects (Habitat Units) on Rainbow Trout Stream Habitat in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-15	Summary of Predicted Non-MMER Effects on Middle and Upper Fish Creek Stream Habitats Based on Stream Flow Duration
Table 2.7.2.5-16	Summary of Predicted MMER Effects on Rainbow Trout Stream and Lake Habitats Based on Stream Flow Duration
Table 2.7.2.5-17	Summary of Aquatic Effects of the New Prosperity Project on Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-18	Summary of Indirect Effects (m <sup>2</sup> and Habitat Units) Mitigated through Flow Augmentation in Fish Creek Reach 8 and Fish Lake Tributary 1
Table 2.7.2.5-19	Summary of Aquatic Effects of the New Prosperity Project on Middle and Upper Fish Creek after Mitigation
Table 2.7.2.5-20	Baseline Conditions (Lakes Physical Habitat) in Middle and Upper Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-21	Summary of Project Effects on Baseline Conditions (Lake Aquatic and Riparian Habitat) in Middle and Upper Fish Creek

Table 2.7.2.5-22	Habitat Suitability Indices (HSI) for Rainbow Trout by Life History Stage within a Lake Habitat Type (from Bradbury et al. 1999)
Table 2.7.2.5-23	Summary of Predicted MMER Effects (Habitat Units) on Rainbow Trout Lake Habitat (Little Fish Lake)
Table 2.7.2.5-24	Summary of Predicted Fish Lake TP Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) during all Project Phases
Table 2.7.2.5-25	Summary of Predicted Fish Lake Fish Productivity Estimates during all Project Phases based on the Plante and Downing Model (1993)
Table 2.7.2.5-26	Summary of Baseline Stream Habitat Conditions in Lower Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-27	Estimated Habitat Suitability Indices (HSI) for Chinook Salmon in Lower Fish Creek by Life History Stage within a Stream Habitat Type
Table 2.7.2.5-28	Summary of Predicted Effects (Habitat Units) on Stream Habitat in Lower Fish Creek
Table 2.7.2.5-30	List of Candidate Lakes for Rainbow Trout Outplanting and Maintenance of Fish Lake Genetic Line
Table 2.7.2.5-31	Determination of Significance of Residual Effects
Table 2.7.2.5-32	Summary of Effects Assessment for Fish and Fish Habitat
Table 2.7.2.6-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.6-2	Potential Environmental Effects on Terrain Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.6-3	Study Area Comparison
Table 2.7.2.6-4	Potential Environmental Effects on Terrain Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.6-5	Summary of Areas Exhibiting Evidence of Instability within Individual Local Study Areas
Table 2.7.2.6-6	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Terrain for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.6-7	Summary of Effects Assessment for Terrain
Table 2.7.2.6-8	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.2.6-9	Scope of Assessment Summary for Reclamation Suitability
Table 2.7.2.6-10	Potential Environmental Effects on Soils Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.6-11	Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.6-12	Potential Environmental Effects and Associated Parameters for Soil Properties
Table 2.7.2.6-13	Recommended Soil Quality Guidelines for Metal Concentrations
Table 2.7.2.6-14	Criteria for Evaluating the Suitability of Root Zone Material in the Eastern Slopes Region
Table 2.7.2.6-15	Reclamation Suitability Ratings
Table 2.7.2.6-16	Soil Reclamation Suitability Areas and Percentage for the Mine Site LSA
Table 2.7.2.6-17	Compaction and Rutting Risk by Soil Map Unit within the Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.6-18	Compaction and Rutting Risk within the Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.6-19	Erosion Hazard Rating for the Mine Site Local Study Area
Table 2.7.2.6-20	Soil Map Units and the Corresponding Topsoil Depths for the Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.6-21	Estimated Volumes of Topsoil for the Mine Site Local Study Area
Table 2.7.2.6-22	Estimated Soil Loss Associated with Mine Site Development
Table 2.7.2.6-23	Residual Project Effects Associated with Soil Loss
Table 2.7.2.6-24	Soil Metal Concentrations at the Camp Based on the TSP Model and the PM <sub>2.5</sub> Model
Table 2.7.2.6-25	Mitigation Measures for Effects on Soil

Table 2.7.2.6-26	Effects Characterization for Soils
Table 2.7.2.6-27	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Soils for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.6-28	Summary of Effects Assessment for Soils
Table 2.7.2.7-1	Project Scoping Table
Table 2.7.2.7-2	Measurable Parameters
Table 2.7.2.7-3	Vegetation Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.2.7-4	VEC - Key Indicator Project Effects Scoping Table
Table 2.7.2.7-5	Mine Site Study Area Comparison
Table 2.7.2.7-6	Availability of Old Forest at Baseline—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-7	Old Growth Management Areas for New Prosperity Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-8	Project Effects to Old Forest
Table 2.7.2.7-9	Baseline Wetland Ecosystems—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-10	Project Effects to Wetland Ecosystems
Table 2.7.2.7-11	Potential Project Effects to Wetland Functions
Table 2.7.2.7-12	Baseline Riparian Ecosystems—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-13	Project Effects on Riparian Ecosystems—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-14	Baseline Grassland Ecosystems—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-15	Grassland Benchmark Areas for New Prosperity Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-16	Project Effects on Grassland Ecosystems—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-17	CDC Rare Plant Listing Update
Table 2.7.2.7-18	Rare Plant Occurrences within the Mine Site LSA
Table 2.7.2.7-19	Baseline Ecological Communities of Conservation Concern—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-20	Rare Ecosystem Listing Update
Table 2.7.2.7-21	Project Effects on Ecological Communities of Conservation Concern—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-22	Country Food Plant Species
Table 2.7.2.7-23	Project Effects on Country Foods through Direct Vegetation Loss—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-24	Project Effects through Loss of Sites Supporting Country Food Plants—Mine Site
Table 2.7.2.7-25	Magnitude Definitions for Residual Effects by Vegetation KI
Table 2.7.2.7-26	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Vegetation for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.7-27	Summary of Effects Assessment for Vegetation
Table 2.7.2.8-1	Project Scoping Table for Wildlife
Table 2.7.2.8-2	Wildlife Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.8-3	Wildlife Key Indicator Scoping Table
Table 2.7.2.8-4	Wildlife Key Indicators Not Assessed Further
Table 2.7.2.8-5	Comparison of Habitat Availability in Regional Study Area at Maximum Disturbance between Prosperity and New Prosperity for Key Indicators with TEM-based Habitat Models
Table 2.7.2.8-6	Project-related Changes in Mule Deer Winter Shelter and Moose Winter Feeding Habitat Availability in Mine Site Regional Study Area at Maximum Disturbance
Table 2.7.2.8-7	Project-related Changes in Grizzly Bear Core Secure Habitat in the Regional Study Area at Maximum Disturbance
Table 2.7.2.8-8	Project-related Changes in Linear Feature Density in the Regional Study Area at Maximum Disturbance
Table 2.7.2.8-9	Rationale for Selection of Wildlife Species for Inclusion in the Assessment of Project Effects on Wildlife Health

Table 2.7.2.8-10	Local Population Effect Predictions for Wildlife Species in Reference to Mine Site: Comparison between Prosperity and New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.8-11	Comparison of Habitat Availability at Maximum Disturbance between Prosperity and New Prosperity for Supplemental Report Study Areas
Table 2.7.2.8-12	Wildlife Mitigation Measures Applicable to New Prosperity Mine Site Activities
Table 2.7.2.8-13	Cumulative Effects on Grizzly Bear Habitat Availability in the Regional Study Area
Table 2.7.2.8-14	Cumulative Effects Assessment Summary for Grizzly Bear in the Regional Study Area
Table 2.7.2.8-15	Draft Grizzly Bear Mortality Risk Reduction Plan Framework
Table 2.7.2.8-16	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Wildlife for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.2.8-17	Summary of Effects Assessment for Wildlife
Table 2.7.3.1-1	Project Components Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.3.1-2	Potential Environmental Effects on Resource Use Associated with New Prosperity
Table 2.7.3.1-3	Key Indicators for Resource Uses and Measurable Parameters
Table 2.7.3.1-4	Summary of Spatial Boundary Definitions
Table 2.7.3.1-5	MDA GIS Summary Table
Table 2.7.3.1-6	Distribution of Project Footprint in SRMP Land Units (hectares)
Table 2.7.3.1-7	Commercial Recreation Tenures in the MDA
Table 2.7.3.1-8	Guide Territories in the MDA
Table 2.7.3.1-9	Trap Lines Located in the MDA
Table 2.7.3.1-10	Agricultural Capability within Project Components
Table 2.7.3.1-11	Range Tenures in the MDA
Table 2.7.3.1-12	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Resource Uses for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.3.2-1	Project Components, Features and Activities Changed from Previous Project Proposal
Table 2.7.3.2-2	Measurable Parameters
Table 2.7.3.2-3	Navigable Waters Potential Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.3.2-4	Characterization Criteria for Residual Effects on Navigable Waters
Table 2.7.3.3-1	Project Scoping Table
Table 2.7.3.3-2	Measurable Parameters
Table 2.7.3.3-3	HHERA Potential Environmental Effects Associated with New Prosperity (Effects Scoping Matrix)
Table 2.7.3.3-4	VEC Key Indicator Project Effects Scoping Table
Table 2.7.3.3-5	Mine Site Study Area Comparison
Table 2.7.3.3-6	Changes in Soil Quality at Worst Case Site (North Shore of Fish Lake) as a Result of Project Activities to Assess Human Health Risk
Table 2.7.3.3-7	Changes in Soil Quality at Worst Case Site (North Shore of Fish Lake) as a Result of Project Activities to Assess Ecological Risk
Table 2.7.3.3-8	Predicted Maximum Yearly-Averged Mean Metal Concentrations in Surface Water Resulting from Project Activities
Table 2.7.3.3-9	Predicted Hazard Quotients for Toddlers and Adults Consuming Country Foods in the Local Study Area Assuming a Conservative 0.65% Increase in Modelled Concentrations <sup>1</sup>
Table 2.7.3.3-11	Estimated Daily Intake of Metals from Fish Consumption

Table 2.7.3.3-12	Hazard Quotients for Non-Carcinogens Ingested from Fish Taken from the Fish Lake Watershed
Table 2.7.3.3-13	Comparison Between Predicted and Measured Arsenic Concentrations in Fish Tissue.
Table 2.7.3.3-14	Maximum Predicted CAC Concentrations at Fish Lake and Nemiah Valley throughout the Project
Table 2.7.3.3-15	Project Residual Effects Assessment Summary for Human Health for New Prosperity
Table 2.7.3.3-16	Summary of Effects Assessment for Human Health
Table 2.7.3.4-1	Economic Benefits Data
Table 2.7.5-1	Effects Tracking Table and Aboriginal Issues of Concern
Table 2.7.5-2	Comparison of 2009 and 2012 Mine Development Areas (MDA) for Trapping Areas Identified by Ehrhart-English
Table 2.7.5-3	Comparison of 2009 and 2012 Mine Development Areas (MDA) for Hunting Areas Identified by Ehrhart-English
Table 2.7.5-4	Comparison of 2009 and 2012 Mine Development Areas (MDA) for Harvesting Areas Identified by Ehrhart-English
Table 2.7.5-5	Comparison of 2009 and 2012 Mine Development Areas (MDA) for Berry Picking Areas Identified by Ehrhart-English
Table 2.7.5-6	Comparison of 2009 and 2012 Mine Development Areas (MDA) for Camping and Watering Areas Identified by Ehrhart-English
Table 2.7.5-7	Indicator and Potential Project Effects
Table 2.7.5-8	Determination of Significance of Residual Effects on Current Use of Lands and Resources
Table 2.7.5-9	Summary of Effects Assessment for Current Use for Traditional Purposes
Table 2.7.5-10	Indicators and Potential Project Effects
Table 2.7.5-11	Determination of Significance of Residual Effects on Cultural Heritage Resources
Table 2.7.5-12	Summary of Effects Assessment for Cultural Heritage
Table 2.7.6-1	Description of Possible Accident and Malfunction Scenarios
Table 2.7.6-2	Interaction of Project Related Accidents, Malfunctions and Unplanned Events with the Environment
Table 2.8-1	New Prosperity Project – Priority Objectives
Table 2.8.1-1	Conceptual Management Plans
Table 2.8.1 2	Criteria for Evaluating the Suitability of Root Zone Material in the MDA
Table 2.8.1 3	Reclamation Suitability Ratings
Table 2.8.1 4	Areas Covered by Proposed Mine Features
Table 2.8.1 5	Soil Volumes Required for Reclamation
Table 2.8.1 6	Estimated Soil Salvage Volumes and Stockpile Locations
Table 2.8.1 7	Soil Stockpile Characteristics and Volumes
Table 2.8.1 8	Design Parameters for Sediment Control Ponds
Table 2.8.1 9	Mined Materials and Tonnages
Table 2.8.1 10	Summary of Waste Management Facilities, Source Materials and Criteria Used for Classification
Table 2.8.2.2-1	Pre- and Post-Closure Ecosystem Units for the New Prosperity Copper-Gold Project Mine Footprint
Table 2.8.2.2-2	Wildlife Capability on the Post-Closure Reclamation Area <sup>1</sup>
Table 2.8.2.2-3	Linkages between Key Reclamation Species and William Case Species

Table 2.8.2.2-4	Soil Volumes Required for Reclamation
Table 2.8.2.2-5	Candidate Grass and Legume Species for Interim Reclamation
Table 2.8.2.2-6	Candidate Species for Final Reclamation
Table 2.8.3-1	Preliminary List of Anticipated Compliance Monitoring and Reporting Obligations
Table 2.8.3-2	Summary of Follow-Up and Monitoring Programs
Table 2.9-1	Table of Commitments
Table 2.11-1	Summary of Environmental Effects

## FIGURES

Figure 1.1.1-1	General Arrangement – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
Figure 2.2.2-1	Project Location
Figure 2.2.2-2	Lower Fish Creek Drainage, Looking South towards Fish Lake
Figure 2.2.2-3	Pit Location Looking South, Fish Lake in Background
Figure 2.2.2-4	Pit Location Looking Northwest at Lower Fish Creek Catchment 2
Figure 2.2.2-5	Cut Block on East Flank of Fish Lake Catchment
Figure 2.2.2-6	South End of Fish Lake, Looking North
Figure 2.2.2-7	South End of Little Fish Lake, Looking Northwest
Figure 2.2.3-1	Regional Location of New Prosperity Mine, Transmission Line, and Access Road and Transportation Corridor
Figure 2.2.3-2	Mine General Location – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
Figure 2.2.3-3	Construction Phase Schedule
Figure 2.2.3-4	Operation and Decommissioning Phase Schedule
Figure 2.2.4-1	Condemnation Drilling
Figure 2.2.4-2	General Arrangement – End of Year 1
Figure 2.2.4-3	General Arrangement – End of Year 3
Figure 2.2.4-4	General Arrangement – End of Year 16
Figure 2.2.4-5	General Arrangement – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
Figure 2.2.5-1	General Arrangement – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
Figure 2.2.5-2	Geotechnical Pit Slope Design Sectors Plan
Figure 2.2.5-3	Pit Slope Design–Pit Wall Depressurization Plan
Figure 2.2.5-4	Water Management – End of Year 1
Figure 2.2.5-5	General Arrangement – Water Management Structures
Figure 2.2.5-6	Water Management – End of Year 16
Figure 2.2.5-7	Main Embankment
Figure 2.2.5-8	South Embankment
Figure 2.2.5-9	West Embankment
Figure 2.2.5-10	Filling Schedule
Figure 2.2.6-1	Construction Phase Schedule
Figure 2.2.6-2	Operations and Closure Schedule
Figure 2.2.6-3	Conceptual Reclamation Plan
Figure 2.4.3.1-1	Tailings Storage Facility Sites
Figure 2.4.3.1-2	T2 - Fish Creek South – General Arrangement
Figure 2.4.3.1-3	T6 - Tête Angela Creek – General Arrangement
Figure 2.6.1.1-1	Geology at the Bedrock–Overburden Interface
Figure 2.6.1.1-2	Overburden Isopach Plan
Figure 2.6.1.1-3	Geology Level Plan 1402.5 m
Figure 2.6.1.1-4	Generalized Geological Cross-Section 10000N
Figure 2.6.1.1-5	Alteration Level Plan 1402.5 m
Figure 2.6.1.1-6	Au and Cu Grade Level Plan 1402.5 m
Figure 2.6.1.2-1	Summary of Wind Speed and Wind Direction Data based on Site-Specific Climate Monitoring



Figure 2.6.1.4A-1	Stream, River and Lake Sampling Sites in the New Prosperity Project Study Area (1992–2012)
Figure 2.6.1.4A-2	Principal Components Analysis of Water Quality Data for Streams in the New Prosperity Project Area
Figure 2.6.1.4A-3	Mercury Concentrations in Rainbow Trout Muscle and Liver Samples from the Taseko River, Fish Creek, Fish Lake, and Little Fish Lake (Mean and Standard Error)
Figure 2.6.1.4A-4	Selenium Concentrations in Rainbow Trout Muscle and Liver Samples from the Taseko River, Fish Creek, Fish Lake, and Little Fish Lake (Mean and Standard Error)
Figure 2.6.1.4A-5	Antimony, Arsenic, and Copper Levels in New Prosperity Project Streams, 1997 (Mean, n=5)
Figure 2.6.1.4A-6	Iron, Manganese, and Nickel Levels in New Prosperity Project Streams, 1997 (Mean, n=5)
Figure 2.6.1.4A-7	Metal Levels in New Prosperity Project Lakes, 1997 (Mean of Five Replicates)
Figure 2.6.1.4A-8	Metal Levels in New Prosperity Project Lakes, 2011 (Mean of Five Replicates)
Figure 2.6.1.4A-9	Metal Levels in Taseko Lake
Figure 2.6.1.4A-10	Metal Levels in Big Onion Lake
Figure 2.6.1.4B-1	Regional Streamflow and Weather Stations
Figure 2.6.1.4B-2	Historic Project Area Streamflow and Meteorology Stations
Figure 2.6.1.4B-3	Current Project Area Streamflow and New Meteorology Stations
Figure 2.6.1.4B-4	2007 Unit Runoff Hydrograph H17b, H6b and H4c
Figure 2.6.1.5-1	General Project Arrangement Showing Fish Distributions and Reaches, New Prosperity Project
Figure 2.6.1.5-2	Chilko Circuit Boat Counts, 2006 and 2007
Figure 2.6.1.5-3	Stream, River and Lake Sampling Sites in the Project Study Area (1992–2006)
Figure 2.6.1.5-4	Mercury Concentrations in Rainbow Trout Muscle and Liver Samples from the Taseko River, Fish Creek, Fish Lake and Little Fish Lake (mean and standard error)
Figure 2.6.1.5-5	Selenium Concentrations in Rainbow Trout Muscle and Liver Samples from the Taseko River, Fish Creek, Fish Lake and Little Fish Lake (mean and standard error)
Figure 2.6.1.6-1	Terrain and Soils Field Plot Locations of the Mine Site (New Prosperity and Prosperity LSAs) (Stantec Figure Soils 1)
Figure 2.6.1.6-2	Slopes for the Regional Study Area of the Mine Site (Stantec Figure Soils 2)
Figure 2.6.1.6-3	Dominant Surficial Materials and Existing Disturbed Areas of the Mine Site (Stantec Figure Soils 3)
Figure 2.6.1.6-4	Soils of the Mine Site Local Study Area and Regional Study Area (Stantec Figure Soils 4)
Figure 2.6.1.7-1	Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping – Mine Site
Figure 2.6.2.3-1	Key Elements of Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment within an Environmental Assessment
Figure 2.6.4.1-1	New Prosperity Gold-Copper Project Location in Relation to First Nations Traditional Territories and Established Rights
Figure 2.7.1.4-1	Projects Selected for CEA Inclusion
Figure 2.7.2.1-1	Site Overview
Figure 2.7.2.1-2	Plan of Arrangement

Figure 2.7.2.1-3	Post-closure Site Plan
Figure 2.7.2.1-4	Post-closure Long Section
Figure 2.7.2.1-5	Drill Hole Locations (1969-1994)
Figure 2.7.2.1-6	Drill Hole Locations (1996 to 1998)
Figure 2.7.2.1-7	Regional Geology
Figure 2.7.2.1-8	OVB Surficial Geology
Figure 2.7.2.1-9	Overburden Isopach
Figure 2.7.2.1-10	Overburden Level Plan 1567.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-11	Overburden Level Plan 1522.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-12	Overburden Level Plan 1477.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-13	Overburden Level Plan 1432.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-14	Overburden Level Plan 1387.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-15	Geology at the Overburden Bedrock Interface
Figure 2.7.2.1-16	Geology Level Plan 1402.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-17	Geology Level Plan 1207.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-18	Geology Level Plan 997.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-19	Generalized Geological Cross-Section 9900E
Figure 2.7.2.1-20	Generalized Geological Cross-Section 10000N
Figure 2.7.2.1-21	Alteration at the Overburden Bedrock Interface
Figure 2.7.2.1-22	Alteration Level Plan 1402.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-23	Alteration Level Plan 1207.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-24	Alteration Level Plan 997.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-25	Au and Cu Grade Level Plan 1402.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-26	Au and Cu Grade Level Plan 1207.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-27	Au and Cu Grade Level Plan 997.5 m
Figure 2.7.2.1-28	Conceptual Model of Geographical (Structural) and Geologic Domains
Figure 2.7.2.1-29	Phase 1 Metallurgical Sample Locations
Figure 2.7.2.1-30	Phase 5 Overburden Sample Locations
Figure 2.7.2.1-31	Sulphate Sulphur vs. Total Sulphur for All Lithologies
Figure 2.7.2.1-32	Carbonate Composition as Determined by Microprobe Analysis
Figure 2.7.2.1-33	Comparison of QXRD-TIC and Analytical TIC
Figure 2.7.2.1-34	Comparison of IC <sub>Ca,Mg</sub> and NP
Figure 2.7.2.1-35	NP vs. AP by Lithology
Figure 2.7.2.1-36	NP vs. AP by Alteration Unit
Figure 2.7.2.1-37	Overburden NP vs. AP
Figure 2.7.2.1-38	Continuous NP/AP vs. Depth
Figure 2.7.2.1-39	Continuous NP/AP Drill Traces
Figure 2.7.2.1-40	Overburden NP vs. AP
Figure 2.7.2.1-41	NP vs TIC-NP for Tertiary Basalt
Figure 2.7.2.1-42	(Ca+Mg)/SO <sub>4</sub> vs. SO <sub>4</sub>
Figure 2.7.2.1-43	HCT Sulphate Release vs. Sulphide Sulphur Content
Figure 2.7.2.1-44	Timing of Onset of Acid Generation
Figure 2.7.2.1-45	Timing of Final Pit Wall Exposure
Figure 2.7.2.1-46	Map of Alteration Types in Ultimate Pit Wall
Figure 2.7.2.1-47	Pit Wall Age and Surface Area by Alteration Type
Figure 2.7.2.1-48	EMPA Results for Tailings Carbonates
Figure 2.7.2.1-49	Tailings NP vs. TIC-NP

Figure 2.7.2.1-50	Comparison of Tailings NP to AP
Figure 2.7.2.1-51	Load Balance Schematic Operations I – Years 1 to 16
Figure 2.7.2.1-52	Load Balance Schematic Operations II – Years 17 to 20
Figure 2.7.2.1-53	Load Balance Schematic Closure Phase I – Years 21 to 30
Figure 2.7.2.1-54	Load Balance Schematic Closure Phase II – Years 31 to 47
Figure 2.7.2.1-55	Load Balance Schematic Post-Closure
Figure 2.7.2.3-1	Noise Study Area
Figure 2.7.2.3-2	Construction Noise Contour – Daytime
Figure 2.7.2.3-3	Project Noise Contour – Daytime
Figure 2.7.2.3-4	Operation Noise Contour – Nighttime
Figure 2.7.2.3-5	Closure Noise Contour – Daytime
Figure 2.7.2.4A-1	Catchment Areas
Figure 2.7.2.4A-2	Water Management - End of Year 1
Figure 2.7.2.4A-3	Water Management - End of Year 3
Figure 2.7.2.4A-4	Water Management - End of Year 16
Figure 2.7.2.4A-5	Water Management - End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
Figure 2.7.2.4A-6	Water Management - Closure Phase I (Years 21-30)
Figure 2.7.2.4A-7	Water Management - Closure Phase II (Years 31-47)
Figure 2.7.2.4A-8	Water Management - Final Reclamation Plan
Figure 2.7.2.4A-9	Water Balance Schematic for Operations
Figure 2.7.2.4A-10	TSF Seepage Flow Chart for End of Operations (Year 17)
Figure 2.7.2.4A-11	Operations Water Balance Results
Figure 2.7.2.4A-12	PAG Water Balance Results
Figure 2.7.2.4A-13	Closure Water Balance Results – TSF
Figure 2.7.2.4A-14	Closure Water Balance Results – Open Pit
Figure 2.7.2.4A-15	Fish Lake Level Fluctuation Model Calculated and Measures Outlet Flows
Figure 2.7.2.4A-16	Simulated vs. Observed Hydraulic Head
Figure 2.7.2.4A-17	Simulated Pre-Development Water Table
Figure 2.7.2.4A-18	Simulated Mining Year 17 Water Table and Hydraulic Heads
Figure 2.7.2.4A-19	Dewatering Wells Scenario: Predicted Groundwater Inflows to the Open Pit and Dewatering Wells
Figure 2.7.2.4A-20	Predicted Solute Concentration from the TSF at the End of Year 17
Figure 2.7.2.4A-21	Simulated Mining Year 100 Water Table and Hydraulic Heads
Figure 2.7.2.4A-22	Predicted Pit Lake Stage
Figure 2.7.2.4A-23	Predicted Groundwater Flows to the Pit Lake
Figure 2.7.2.4A-24	Predicted Groundwater Flows to Fish Lake
Figure 2.7.2.4A-25	Predicted Groundwater Flows to Big Onion Lake during Closure
Figure 2.7.2.4A-26	Predicted Groundwater Flows to Little Onion Lake during Closure
Figure 2.7.2.4A-27	Predicted Groundwater Flows to the Taseko River during Closure
Figure 2.7.2.4A-28	Predicted Groundwater Flows to Wasp Lake during Closure
Figure 2.7.2.4A-29	Predicted Groundwater Flow from TSF during Closure
Figure 2.7.2.4A-30	Potential Seepage Pathways during Closure
Figure 2.7.2.4A-31	Predicted Solute Concentration at the End of Year 50
Figure 2.7.2.4A-32	Predicted Solute Concentration at the End of Year 100
Figure 2.7.2.4A-33	Predicted Flow to Fish Lake Valley Groundwater Seepage Pumpback Wells
Figure 2.7.2.4A-34	Predicted Solute Concentration at the End of Year 17 with Groundwater Seepage Pumpback Wells

Figure 2.7.2.4A-35	Predicted Solute Concentration at the End of Year 50 with Groundwater Seepage Pumpback Wells
Figure 2.7.2.4A-36	Predicted Solute Concentration at the End of Year 100 with Groundwater Seepage Pumpback Wells
Figure 2.7.2.4A-37	Predicted Changes in Groundwater Baseflow to the Taseko River and Fish Lake for the Cases with and without Main Embankment Seepage Pumpback
Figure 2.7.2.4A-38	Predicted Changes in Groundwater Baseflow to Big Onion and Little Onion Lake for the Cases with and without Main Embankment Seepage Pumpback
Figure 2.7.2.4A-39	Predicted Changes in Groundwater Baseflow to the Taseko River and Fish Lake for the Cases with and without Main Embankment Seepage Pumpback
Figure 2.7.2.4B-1	Bathymetric Curve of the Fish Lake Basin
Figure 2.7.2.4B-2	Water Quality Mixing Points
Figure 2.7.2.4B-3	Predicted Fish Lake phytoplankton biomass and total phosphorus concentration under different nutrient loading scenarios – July
Figure 2.7.2.4B-4	Predicted Fish Lake phytoplankton biomass and total phosphorus concentration under different nutrient loading scenarios – August
Figure 2.7.2.4B-5	Predicted Fish Lake phytoplankton biomass and total phosphorus concentration under different nutrient loading scenarios – September
Figure 2.7.2.4B-6	Predicted Fish Lake phytoplankton biomass and total phosphorus concentration under different nutrient loading scenarios – October to June
Figure 2.7.2.4B-7	Comparison of predicted Fish Creek reach 8 temperatures with the observed baseline temperatures based upon recirculation containing 50% epilimnion water and 50% hypolimnion water in July
Figure 2.7.2.4B-8	Comparison of predicted Fish Lake Tributary 1 temperatures with the observed baseline temperatures based upon recirculation containing 50% epilimnion water and 50% hypolimnion water in July
Figure 2.7.2.5-1a	Local Study Area (LSA) Boundary, Stream Reaches and Fish Distribution within the Fish Creek Watershed
Figure 2.7.2.5-1b	General Layout with Local Study Area (LSA) Boundary, Stream Reaches and Fish Distribution
Figure 2.7.2.5-2	Fish Lake Tributary Flow Augmentation and Habitat Enhancement
Figure 2.7.2.5-3	Overview Map of Identified Mitigation Sites and Compensation Elements for Effects under the Jurisdiction of MMER and Fisheries Act
Figure 2.7.2.5-4	Location of the Hanceville Hatchery Mitigation Measure
Figure 2.7.2.5 5a	Rainbow Trout Fry Outplanting and Recipient Lake Mitigation Measure – Lake 6267
Figure 2.7.2.5 5b	Rainbow Trout Fry Outplanting and Recipient Lake Mitigation Measure – Slim Lake
Figure 2.7.2.5 6	Development of Access Roads and Camping Facilities Mitigation Measure at Eleven Sisters Lakes
Figure 2.7.2.6-1	Soils Regional Study Area and Local Study Area
Figure 2.7.2.6-2	Potentially Unstable Slopes and Mass Wasting Areas for the Mine Site Local Study Area and Regional Study Area
Figure 2.7.2.6-3	Potential Groundwater Change and Mass Movement at Operations
Figure 2.7.2.6-4	Post Closure Potential Groundwater Change and Mass Movement
Figure 2.7.2.6-5	HHERA Receptors
Figure 2.7.2.6-6	Soil Reclamation Suitability within the Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.6-7	Compaction and Rutting Risk for the Mine Site

Figure 2.7.2.6-8	Baseline Soil Erosion Hazards - Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.6-9	Topsoil Depths for the Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.6-10	Elevated Soils Metals Concentrations
Figure 2.7.2.6-11	Soil Loss at Closure
Figure 2.7.2.6-12	Potential Groundwater Change at Operations
Figure 2.7.2.6-13	Post Closure Potential Groundwater
Figure 2.7.2.6-14	Post Closure Soil Erosion Hazards - Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-1	Vegetation Study Areas and Biogeoclimatic Zones
Figure 2.7.2.7-2	Baseline Old Forest – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-3	OGMA and RMP in the Vegetation MDA
Figure 2.7.2.7-4	Maximum Footprint Old Forest – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-5	Post-Closure Old Forest – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-6	Baseline Wetland Ecosystems – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-7	Maximum Footprint Wetland Ecosystems – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-8	Post Closure Wetland Ecosystems – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-9	Baseline Riparian Ecosystems – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-10	Operations Riparian Ecosystems – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-11	Post Closure Riparian Ecosystems – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-12	Baseline Grassland Ecosystems – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-13	Maximum Footprint Grassland Ecosystems – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-14	Post Closure Grassland Ecosystems – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-15	Baseline Rare Plants – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-16	Baseline Ecological Communities of Conservation Concern – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.7-17	Maximum Footprint Ecological Communities of Conservation Concern – Mine Site
Figure 2.7.2.8-1	Comparison of Prosperity and New Prosperity Study Areas for Wildlife
Figure 2.7.2.8-2	Grizzly Bear Regional Study Area for Core Secure Habitat, Linear Feature Density and Cumulative Effects Analyses
Figure 2.7.2.8-3	Potential Mule Deer Winter Feeding Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-4	Potential Mule Deer Winter Shelter Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-5	Potential Moose Winter Feeding Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-6	Potential Moose Winter Shelter Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-7	Potential Grizzly Bear Spring Feeding Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-8	Potential Grizzly Bear Summer Feeding Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-9	Potential Grizzly Bear Fall Feeding Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-10	Potential Black Bear Denning (Hibernation) Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-11	Potential Fisher Natal Denning Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-12	Potential Great Blue Heron Feeding Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline

Figure 2.7.2.8-13	Potential Mallard Feeding Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-14	Potential Barrow's Goldeneye Nesting Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-15	Potential Short-eared Owl Feeding Habitat Availability in Mine Site Local Study Area at Baseline
Figure 2.7.2.8-16	Grizzly Bear Core Secure Habitat in the Regional Study Area at Maximum Disturbance
Figure 2.7.2.8-17	Linear Feature Density in the Regional Study Area at Maximum Disturbance
Figure 2.7.2.8-18	Grizzly Bear Habitat Suitability in the Regional Study Area at Baseline and Future Case
Figure 2.7.3.1-1	MDA's for the 2012 New Prosperity & the 2009 Prosperity Project
Figure 2.7.3.1-2	The Project in Relation to Guide Outfitter Areas
Figure 2.7.3.1-3	The Project in Relation to Reuter's Commercial Recreation License of Occupation and Guide Outfitter Area
Figure 2.7.3.1-4	The Project in Relation to Registered Traplines
Figure 2.7.3.1-5	The Project in Relation to Grazing Licenses
Figure 2.7.3.2-1	General Arrangement – End of Year 20 (Ultimate)
Figure 2.7.3.2-2	Fish Lake Control Dam Plan
Figure 2.7.3.2-3	Fish Lake Control Dam Typical Section
Figure 2.7.3.4-1	Contribution to Gross Domestic Product
Figure 2.7.3.4-2	Total Employment BC and Williams Lake Local Area
Figure 2.7.3.4-3	Wages and Salaries Paid
Figure 2.7.3.4-4	Payments to Government
Figure 2.7.4.1-1	Mine Components and Archaeological Sites
Figure 2.7.5-1	First Nation Traditional Use – Fishing Areas – 2009
Figure 2.7.5-2	First Nation Traditional Use – Fishing Areas – 2012
Figure 2.7.5-3	First Nation Traditional Use – Trapping Areas – 2009
Figure 2.7.5-4	First Nation Traditional Use – Trapping Areas – 2012
Figure 2.7.5-5	First Nation Traditional Use – Hunting Areas – 2009
Figure 2.7.5-6	First Nation Traditional Use – Hunting Areas – 2012
Figure 2.7.5-7	First Nation Traditional Use – Berry Picking Areas – 2009
Figure 2.7.5-8	First Nation Traditional Use – Berry Picking Areas – 2012
Figure 2.7.5-9	First Nation Traditional Use – Harvesting Areas – 2009
Figure 2.7.5-10	First Nation Traditional Use – Harvesting Areas – 2012
Figure 2.7.5-11	First Nation Traditional Use – Camps & Water Sources – 2009
Figure 2.7.5-12	First Nation Traditional Use – Camps & Water Sources – 2012
Figure 2.8.2-1	Baseline Ecosystem Units
Figure 2.8.2-2	Post-Closure Ecosystem Units
Figure 2.8.2-3	Closure Wildlife Habitat Capability – Mine Site Footprint
Figure 2.8.2-4	Conceptual Reclamation Plan
Figure 2.8.2-5	Post-closure Mine Site Configuration