

**Table B - IR2: Regulatory Requests and Suggested Actions Derived from the Canadian Malartic Corporation's Responses to Information Request #1 (IR1) on the Final Environmental Impact Statement /Environmental Assessment Report for the Federal Environmental Assessment of the Hammond Reef Gold Mine Project**

Reference #	Link to IR1	Ecosystem Topic	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Summary of Comment/ Rationale	Regulatory Request/ Suggested Action
R(2)-01	T-4	Alternatives Assessment	7.4	Appendix 4.1 Mine Waste Disposal Alternatives Assessment Version 2	<p>The proponent acknowledged minor inconsistencies exist in the values and ranges presented in the alternatives assessment, but stated without demonstrating the magnitude of these inconsistencies is small and does not affect the outcome of the assessment.</p> <p>The alternatives assessment will be shared with the Aboriginal groups and others during the <i>Metal Mining Effluent Regulations</i> Schedule 2 Amendment consultations. For the sake of transparency, the proponent should provide the necessary documentation to support its statement that the inconsistencies do not affect the outcome of the alternatives assessment and that the final outcome would remain the same when the scores are adjusted for the inconsistencies.</p>	Environment Canada requests, for the purposes of the <i>Metal Mining Effluent Regulations</i> Schedule 2 Amendment regulatory process, that the Proponent provide the necessary documentation to support its statement that the inconsistencies do not affect the outcome of the alternatives assessment and that the results would remain the same when the scores are adjusted for the inconsistencies.