Version 3 Hammond Reef Gold Project EIS/EA – Addendum (Part B) Responses to Provincial Information Requests

1656263

Identifier	Topic	Reference to EIS/EA Report	Summary of Comment	Proponent's Response	Subsequen Comment
			Date:	Date: December 2016	
MNRF WTCM-5	Low Water Level	Water	NRF requires clarification on the following aspects of this plan:	a) The discharge will be sourced from either the Process Plant Collection Pond	
	and Outflow	Taking	a) What "site storage" site will be used as the source of the discharge?	(PPCP) or the TMF. Regardless of the source, water will undergo treatment	
	Periods at Raft Lake	Contingency	Both PPCP and TMF? If so, what is difference between discharged	at the effluent treatment plant prior to discharge. Site discharge will be	
	Dam	Measures,	water quality from these facilities?	subject to and will comply with discharge water quality requirements of the	
		Section 2.2	b) MNRF recognizes the requirement of 335 m3/day of water from	Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) for the Project (to be sought	
			Marmion Reservoir for potable water. However, the 7,200 m3/day for	during the permitting phase of the project). At the discharge location,	
			reagent mixing due to water quality reasons suggests the water from	effluent mixing and dilution will be enhanced by a diffuser.	
			the PPCP or TMF is unfit for discharge to the environment. The	b) Although it has been demonstrated through the EIS/EA that discharge of	
			document states in multiple locations that Marmion Reservoir water is preferred for reagent mixing for water quality reasons. MNRF requires	treated effluent will impose no adverse effect on aquatic life in Marmion Reservoir, the treated effluent cannot be used for reagent mixing because	
			a detailed explanation as to why the storage water in the PPCP and	concentrations of some parameters are slightly elevated compared to the	
			TMF cannot be used for reagent mixing. What are the monitoring and	water in the reservoir and this can be problematic for the chemical	
			reporting plans of discharged (effluent) water quality from the TMF or	processes employed by the process plant and can result in accelerated	
			PPCP?	scaling of mechanical equipment. Site discharge will be subject to and will	
			c) MNRF is concerned regarding the potential impacts of discharged TMF	comply with monitoring requirements, reporting requirements and	
			or PPCP water quality during drought or other low water conditions. It	discharge water quality requirements of the ECA for the Project (to be	
			is unclear whether prolonged drought will increase concentrations	sought during the permitting phase of the project).	
			within the TMF/PCPP due to water-recycling, evaporation, etc. In	c) Discharge will be treated prior to release and will meet water quality	
			addition, lower water levels in Marmion Reservoir will likely result in a	criteria required by the ECA for discharge, regardless of climactic factors.	
			reduced dilution capacity. The impact of discharged effluent on fish	The purpose of the ECA water quality and discharge requirements are to	
			spawning (i.e. fertilization, incubation and hatching) remains unclear.	avoid potential impact to aquatic life. Should monitoring during drought	
			At multiple locations within this document it states that CMC plans to	periods indicate that it is not possible to meet the ECA requirements,	
			avoid using the water from the PPCP and TMF for reagent mixing due	potential mitigation would include additional recycling within the	
			to water quality and in place use fresh water from the Marmion	operations to the extent practicable for a period of time (i.e. to the extent	
			Reservoir (offset by discharging). What impact would this water quality	the equipment can be operated without buildup of scale affecting	
			have on spawning fish? What impact would different climatic	operations). Although unexpected and considered an upset condition,	
			conditions (i.e. drought, flood, spring freshet, etc.) and flows have on	should prolonged periods of drought occur where it would not be possible	
			the water quality discharged?	to meet ECA requirements, or operate equipment without undue cost for	
				maintenance then, CMC would, by necessity, reduce discharge flows, or	
				operating capacity of the mine for a period of time as a final contingency.	