

Identifier	Topic	Reference to EIS/EA Report	Summary of Previous Comment	Proponent's Response to Previous Comment	Follow-up comment/ Request for Information	New Proponent Response	Subsequent Comment
			<i>Date: March 2014</i> MNR-EM 3	<i>Date: June 2015</i>	<i>Date: August 2015</i>	<i>Date: October 2016</i>	
MNRF-15	Socio economics	EIS/EA 6.3.1.2.10, 8.2.3, 8.3	MNR does not find the response acceptable. Monitoring only the angling activities of the workforce on Upper Marmion lake will not allow for an assessment of changes/impacts to existing anglers and resource based tourism. Osisko [Canadian Malartic Corporation] needs to conduct angling effort surveys on the Marmion system, that are consistent with previous surveys so the social-economic impact on fishing can be measured and assessed.	A local monitoring committee will be established to allow for ongoing communications with the local members of the public. It is anticipated that the Community Consultation Committee will be formed prior to the construction phase of the Project and will include representatives from the recreation and tourism sector. Social indicators, such as the potential for increased fishing pressure or decreased fishing opportunities, will be identified and included in a detailed social management plan. Development in Ontario is not solely a private concern of privately owned companies. There is a responsibility for the government to play a role in monitoring those aspects for which it has control and responsibility (e.g., fish and wildlife management). It is Canadian Malartic's intention to work with the MNR and other parties through the Community Consultation Committee to assist in appropriate monitoring and measuring for those aspects which we can control (e.g., workforce angling, discharge water quantity and quality etc...) as we have committed to and discussed in several meetings with the government and public.	See comment above response for MNRF 10 "MNR requests more information on the 'no fishing policy' that Canadian Malartic plans to implement. Has the policy been prepared? ...how will this policy be enforced? Will this policy only pertain to Marmion Lake (upper, lower or both?) Or will the policy extend to nearby lakes too? Is the suggested questionnaire for workers who are not staying at camp, or for all workers who may be fishing in the evenings on other lakes? MNRF appreciates the acknowledgment there is potential for impacts to the fishery. This needs to be included in the TDS documents. In order to capture the changes and impacts to existing anglers and resource based tourism, MNRF continues to suggest that CMC conduct angling effort surveys on the Marmion system, at minimum, to better understand the social-economic impacts that will be caused by the start-up of their operations. Regarding the restriction to access commonly used public fishing areas, it should be clarified what this means. That is, if it understood the public continue to have access to leased mine lands (which is a large portion of the LSA). Case in point – the launch site at the current camp location is no longer accessible to the public. There needs to be a clear understanding of what public access will or will not be restricted."	In response to comments from the MNRF, CMC has completed a more detailed assessment of the potential impacts of a 1,200 person camp on fishing and hunting pressure and opportunities in the Local and Regional study areas. The results of this assessment are provided in the attached memorandum which will be submitted as part of a formal addendum to the Final EIS/EA. CMC will implement a bi-annual fishing and hunting effort survey of all site employees as part of an approved Project monitoring program to evaluate effect predictions. The design of the survey will be reviewed with MNRF following Project approval. A commitment to conduct bi-annual hunting and fishing survey is included in the Project commitments registry. Attachment: Technical Memorandum: Assessment of Potential Impacts to Fishing and Hunting	MNRF-15B